

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/699,303	10/27/2000	Jing Luo	17815.205469	6250
759	90 03/18/2004		EXAMINER	
W. Scott Petty			BOYER, CHARLES I	
KING & SPALDING 45TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
191 Peachtree Street			1751	
Atlanta, GA 30	0303		DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/699,303	LUO ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles I Boyer	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION OF THE APPLICATION IN CONDITION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE A	TION FOR ALLOWAN cation. A proper reply	NCE. / to a
l ——	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the solid NONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See	MPEP
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final reje	the final Office action; or (2) ection, even if timely filed, ma	
1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	२ 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal d	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s): the use of the term "was	<u>h stage" is acceptable</u>	<u></u> .
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi 	idered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were r	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared to the proposed amendment of t	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	will be entered and wo or appended.	ns t
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		••	
Claim(s) allowed:		•	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.	
$9\ \square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement			
10. Other:	, , _		
CHARLES BOYER PRIMARY EXAMINER		Charles I Boyer Primary Examiner	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		Art Unit: 1751	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment requires a new search, however, even if it did not, the language "consisting essentially of" would not preclude the inclusion of well known deinking surfactants.